

# FSME LOGIC

## FINITE-STATE MEMORY EMBEDDING ENGINE

*Multi-Domain Capability Brief & Validated Case Studies*

Prepared for Chief Technology Officers | Quantum & Aerospace Division  
Patent Pending: CA 3,297,487 | alex-kalyniuk@fsmelogic.ca | Confidential

## Executive Summary

FSME Logic has developed a patent-pending predictive failure detection engine grounded in physical entropy mathematics rather than statistical machine learning. The Finite-State Memory Embedding (FSME) engine detects the precise moment a physical system transitions from a stable operational state to a pre-failure degradation state — measuring changes in the structural entropy signature of any time-series telemetry stream.

This document presents validated case study evidence from IBM quantum processors and NASA/ESA aerospace assets. In every audit, the engine operated cold — requiring zero historical training data, zero cloud compute, and zero model pre-configuration — while outperforming state-of-the-art deep learning systems on both detection lead time and false-positive discipline.

## Core Technology Advantages

<b>Zero Training Data Required</b>	The FSME engine does not learn from historical failures. It evaluates the structural integrity of live telemetry in real-time, deployable immediately on any new hardware asset — no failure history required.
<b>Edge-Native Architecture</b>	Runs entirely on local, offline ARM processors. No cloud connectivity, no GPU cluster, no data leaving the client facility — a critical advantage for aerospace, defense, and quantum operations.
<b>Domain-Agnostic Physics</b>	The same mathematical kernel that detected bearing failure under 4,000 RPM industrial load successfully mapped the decoherence cascade of a superconducting quantum processor. Physical failure is a universal law.
<b>False-Positive Discipline</b>	In every audit across every domain, the engine returned exactly zero anomalies on stable baseline parameters — proving it measures physical reality, not statistical noise.

*"The FSME engine does not teach a computer to recognize a broken machine. It measures the physical act of breaking — regardless of the machine's size, domain, or operational environment."*

# Quantum Hardware Audits — IBM Processor Fleet

FSME Logic conducted forensic audits across four IBM superconducting quantum processors: Boston, Athens, Melbourne, and Santiago. Each audit was performed cold, with no prior knowledge of the hardware's failure history. The results demonstrate two commercially critical capabilities: (1) the ability to differentiate stable quantum physics from degrading control hardware, and (2) the ability to map the precise topological cascade of hardware failure across a multi-qubit processor in real-time.

## Case Study 1 — IBM Boston: Macro-Calibration Drift Detection

**Dataset:** [ibm\\_boston\\_calibrations\\_2025-12-30T00\\_29\\_19Z.csv](#) | **Volume:** 156 calibration cycles

The Boston dataset is a time-series calibration log captured over weeks of operation on one of IBM's superconducting quantum processors. Qubits must be continuously recalibrated because they interact with environmental noise, losing their quantum state through decoherence. The FSME engine tracked when gate fidelity and measurement accuracy began to structurally drift.

### Proof of Silence — Baseline Stability:

Parameter	Result
T1 (us) — Qubit Relaxation Time	0 anomalies flagged
T2 (us) — Qubit Coherence Time	0 anomalies flagged
Readout Length	0 anomalies flagged
Single-Qubit Gate Length	0 anomalies flagged

### Standard Detection Profile — Hardware Degradation:

Channel	First Flag Step
MEASURE_2 error	Step 50
Pauli-X error / sx error	Step 57
MEASURE error / Readout assignment error	Step 85

### High-Sensitivity Profile — Additional 11 Steps of Predictive Runway:

Channel	First Flag	Physical Interpretation
Pauli-X error / sx error	Step 46	Microwave control pulses lose structural integrity
MEASURE error	Step 47	Measurement fidelity collapses as direct causal result of gate drift

The one-step cascade from Step 46 to Step 47 is not coincidental — it is deterministic physics. The single-qubit gate microwave pulses failed first, and the measurement errors were a direct, causal consequence. This sequential cascade demonstrates the engine is mapping physical causality, not generating statistical noise.

*Commercial Value: Quantum operators currently recalibrate on rigid time-based schedules every 4 hours. FSME eliminates blind scheduled maintenance. The engine monitors structural entropy continuously and triggers recalibration only when the physics demand it — maximizing commercial uptime on multi-million-dollar processors.*

## Case Study 2 — IBM Athens: Micro-Execution Hardware Collapse

Dataset: Athens.csv | Volume: 66,747 rapid circuit executions

The Athens dataset represents tens of thousands of quantum circuits fired rapidly into a 5-qubit IBM processor during a high-volume computational workload. This audit demonstrates the engine's ability to differentiate between the stable digital code being submitted to the processor and the degrading physical hardware executing it.

### Proof of Silence — Code vs. Hardware:

Parameter	Result
N_qubits, N_cx, N_measures — Circuit Structure	0 anomalies flagged
edge_length (all connections)	0 anomalies flagged
T1 & T2 (all 5 qubits)	0 anomalies flagged

### Hardware Degradation Timeline — Topological Cascade:

Step	Event
Step 40	readout_error_2 flags — Initial entropic collapse on Qubit 2 readout resonator
Step 46	cx_3_4 entanglement gate flags
Step 57	readout_error_3 flags — Degradation spreads to Qubit 3
Step 72	edge_error_2_3 flags — Physical entanglement pathway between Qubits 2 and 3 collapses (43,298 continuous anomalies)
Step 96	readout_error_1 flags
Step 101	readout_error_0 and readout_error_4 flag simultaneously — Full chip readout fidelity compromised
Step 106	edge_error_1_2 flags
Step 142	edge_error_0_1 flags

The topological precision of this cascade is definitive proof of physical reality. A hallucinating algorithm flags qubits in random, non-physical order. The FSME engine mapped a geographically correct spread of hardware degradation: the initial readout failure on Qubit 2 propagated outward across the specific entanglement pathways physically connected to it, reaching adjacent qubits in the exact sequence predicted by the chip's wiring topology.

*Commercial Value: Knowing that Qubit 2's readout is collapsing at Step 40 means the quantum operating system can dynamically route the remaining 66,700 circuit executions away from that specific pathway before it corrupts the entire workload at Step 101 — saving the client's computation and protecting the operator's revenue.*

### Case Study 3 — IBM Melbourne: Synchronous System Shock (15-Qubit)

Dataset: Melbourne circuit execution log | Volume: 66,747 rows | Processor: 15-qubit IBM Melbourne

The Melbourne audit represents the most computationally demanding test in this portfolio — a 15-qubit combinatorial matrix processed entirely offline on an edge ARM processor. Where the Athens audit revealed a slow, spreading hardware disease, Melbourne presented a fundamentally different failure mode: a massive, synchronous environmental shock.

#### Proof of Silence — Bulletproof Hallucination Defense:

Parameter	Result
N_qubits, N_cx, N_measures — Circuit Structure	0 anomalies flagged
T1 & T2 (all 15 qubits)	0 anomalies flagged
edge_error & edge_length (all connections)	0 anomalies flagged

#### The Step 96 System Shock:

Finding	Detail
Step 96 — Simultaneous Collapse	11 of 15 qubit readout resonators fail at the exact same execution step
Surviving Qubits	readout_error_2, _7, _10, _11 — Zero anomalies flagged
Entanglement Edges	Remained completely stable throughout the event

The simultaneous collapse of 11 readouts at a single step, while the physical entanglement edges remained entirely stable, is the signature of a macroscopic environmental event — not localized qubit degradation. This points to a system-wide cause: a thermal spike in the dilution refrigerator, a cosmic ray impact, or a voltage fluctuation on the macroscopic control plane driving the microwave pulses.

*Commercial Value: When presented alongside the Athens log, this audit demonstrates diagnostic resolution no deep learning model provides. Athens shows a slow hardware disease. Melbourne shows an environmental system shock. FSME distinguishes them automatically — telling facility engineers exactly where to look.*

### Case Study 4 — IBM Santiago: The Sequential Domino Effect (5-Qubit)

Dataset: Santiago.csv | Volume: 66,747 circuit executions | Processor: 5-qubit IBM Santiago

The Santiago audit produced the most powerful proof of physical causality in this portfolio: a perfect, sequential hardware cascade in which a single failing entanglement gate methodically destroyed the entire chip, connection by connection, over hundreds of execution steps.

#### Proof of Silence:

Parameter	Result
Circuit structure (N_qubits, N_cx)	0 anomalies flagged
Coherence limits (T1, T2)	0 anomalies flagged
Physical edge_length channels	0 anomalies flagged

#### The Domino Cascade — Chronological Failure Propagation:

Step	Event
Step 26	cx_3_4 entanglement gate — First entropic collapse (the inception point)
Step 52	edge_error_3_4 and edge_error_4_3 collapse — Physical microwave connection degrades 26 steps after gate failure
Step 119	readout_error_4 collapses — Qubit 4 readout poisoned by degraded connection
Step 138	readout_error_3 collapses — Qubit 3 readout follows
Step 196	readout_error_2 collapses — Degradation spreads across chip
Step 247	readout_error_1 collapses
Step 258	readout_error_0 collapses — Entire chip readout fidelity eliminated

This chronological sequence is the definitive anti-hallucination argument. Random statistical algorithms do not map chronological domino effects that respect the physical wiring topology of a microprocessor. The FSME engine traced a failure that began at a single gate and followed the exact physical pathways of the chip's architecture to its final, complete collapse — 232 execution steps later.

*"I don't just tell you it's broken. I show you the exact gate that broke first, the exact moment the failure began spreading, and the exact order in which every connected component will fail next. That is a diagnostic map, not an alarm."*

# Aerospace & Industrial Validation — Universal Law of Failure

The quantum audits above demonstrate microscopic sensitivity to qubit-level hardware degradation. The following aerospace and industrial audits prove that the identical mathematical kernel delivers equivalent predictive precision at macroscopic scale — from orbital reaction wheels to commercial turbofan engines.

This cross-domain validation establishes a fundamental commercial argument: FSME Logic has not discovered a niche trick for quantum processors. It has mapped a Universal Law of Physical Failure. The same entropic collapse signature is present whether it occurs in a superconducting qubit or a 900 RPM satellite reaction wheel.

## NASA SMAP Satellite — Orbital Reaction Wheel Failure

Finding	Detail
E-4 Dataset — Predictive Lead Time	84.7 hours (3.5 days) before NASA's official anomaly window
A-2 Dataset — Predictive Lead Time	68.6 hours before expert-labeled failure
D-12 Baseline (Healthy Orbit)	0 anomalies flagged across entire operational cycle
Detection Method	Zero training data — cold deployment on archived telemetry

A 3.5-day predictive window on a \$916 million orbital asset is not an incremental improvement over current monitoring — it is a paradigm shift. Current SOTA deep learning models achieve high F1 scores by detecting failures during the anomaly window. FSME detects the physical inception of wear before the hardware has crossed any observable threshold, providing ground control with a multi-day tactical window to adjust mission parameters and prevent catastrophic loss of mission.

## ESA OPS-SAT — In-Orbit CubeSat Sensor Degradation

Finding	Detail
Lead Time vs. ESA Official Flag	11.5 minutes of advanced warning on live in-orbit hardware
Deployment Architecture	Edge-native — ran directly on resource-constrained 3U CubeSat flight computer
False Positives	Zero

The ESA OPS-SAT validation proves edge-native real-time deployment is not theoretical. The FSME kernel ran on actual flight computer hardware during an active mission, detected sensor degradation 11.5 minutes before the European Space Agency's own monitoring systems flagged the event, with zero false positives.

## NASA MSL Curiosity Rover — Deep-Space Actuator Binding

Finding	Detail
Detection	Mechanical binding in deep-space actuators detected hundreds of operational steps before catastrophic failure
Environment	Martian surface — extreme temperature variance, non-terrestrial terrain resistance
Key Insight	Engine differentiated internal mechanical failure from normal environmental resistance (terrain load)

## Industrial Benchmarks — NASA C-MAPSS & PRONOSTIA

Benchmark	Result
NASA C-MAPSS (Aviation)	78% fleet detection rate across 509 commercial turbofan engines; average 126 flight cycles of lead time
PRONOSTIA Bearing Rigs	1 to 7 hours of advanced warning under 4,000 RPM accelerated load conditions
False Positive Rate	Zero flags on healthy baseline assets across all industrial tests

# Commercial Value Proposition

---

## For Quantum Computing Operators

Quantum computing companies sell cloud access to their processors by the hour. Clients — pharmaceutical companies, financial institutions, national laboratories — pay thousands of dollars per computational run. In this model, compute time is inventory, and downtime is cash destroyed.

### Dynamic Circuit Routing — Saving the Workload:

**Without FSME:** A client submits a 66,747-step quantum chemistry simulation. At Step 40, Qubit 2's readout resonator begins to fail. The quantum computer doesn't know. It blindly executes the remaining 66,700 steps with a degrading qubit corrupting every result. The client receives garbage data. The operator refunds the run or repeats it at their own cost — burning hours of expensive compute inventory on a dead workload.

**With FSME:** The engine flags Qubit 2's collapse at Step 40. The quantum operating system immediately routes all remaining calculations through the healthy qubits. The workload completes successfully. The client's data is valid. The operator's revenue is protected.

### Just-in-Time Calibration — Maximizing Uptime:

**Without FSME:** Quantum operators pause all client workloads and execute full system recalibrations on rigid time-based schedules — regardless of whether the hardware actually needs it. While calibrating, the machine generates zero revenue.

**With FSME:** The Boston audit proved the engine detects gate-error drift one step before measurement errors begin cascading. This provides the exact trigger for a targeted, surgical recalibration — applied only to the specific gates that are drifting, executed only when the physics demand it. Rigid scheduled maintenance is eliminated. Commercial uptime is maximized.

### Root-Cause Acceleration:

The Melbourne audit proved that 11 readout resonators collapsed simultaneously at Step 96 while all entanglement edges remained stable. This signature instantly tells hardware engineers the failure was environmental — a thermal event or voltage spike — not internal qubit degradation. Without FSME, a team of PhD physicists might spend days investigating qubit crosstalk and gate errors before discovering the macroscopic cause. FSME eliminates that diagnostic labor entirely.

## For Aerospace & Defense Organizations

- 3.5-day warning on orbital assets converts catastrophic mission loss into managed maintenance events.
- Edge-native, air-gapped architecture satisfies the strictest data security requirements — no telemetry leaves the facility, no cloud API is required.
- Zero training data requirement enables immediate deployment on new hardware assets, prototype vehicles, and classified systems with no historical failure record.
- False-positive discipline eliminates the most destructive risk in industrial AI: phantom alarms that pull revenue-generating assets offline unnecessarily.

## Competitive Landscape — Why FSME Wins

---

Competitor	Limitation
------------	------------

Deep Learning (LSTM / Transformer)	Requires terabytes of historical failure data, weeks of cloud training, and heavy GPU compute. Detects failures during the anomaly window — not before it.
Q-CTRL / Riverlane (Quantum Control)	Advanced control theory to suppress qubit errors at the hardware level. FSME sits upstream: it provides the precise diagnostic trigger that tells these systems when and where to act.
C3.ai / SparkCognition (Enterprise AI)	Multi-million-dollar integrations requiring data to leave client facilities. FSME is fully offline, air-gapped, and deploys in a single session.
<b>FSME Logic</b>	<b>Zero training data. Edge-native. 1.5-second inference per channel. Validated across quantum processors, orbital satellites, deep-space rovers, and industrial bearings. Patent Pending: CA 3,297,487.</b>

## Pilot Program & Engagement Model

FSME Logic offers an initial forensic audit engagement designed to provide immediate, quantifiable proof of value with zero security risk to the client.

- The client provides 30 days of historical telemetry CSV files from any hardware asset — quantum processor, satellite, turbine, or industrial system.
- All analysis is performed entirely offline in Edmonton. No data leaves the client facility after initial transfer. No cloud infrastructure is involved.
- FSME Logic delivers a complete forensic audit report: exact failure inception steps, topological cascade maps, predictive lead times, and false-positive validation against all stable baseline channels.
- Pilot engagement fee: \$8,000 CAD. No recurring license required for the initial audit.

*"You are not buying a math equation. You are buying an automated financial shield for your most expensive hardware — one that has already been validated on NASA satellites, ESA CubeSats, and IBM quantum processors."*